

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/726,137 | 12/02/2003 | Gerald R. Savicki JR. | 905-186 | 3795 |
| 20874 | 7590 10/27/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI | | | NGUYEN, TRUC T | |
| 101 SOUTH : SUITE 400 | SALINA STREET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SYRACUSE, NY 13202 | | | 2833 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/726,137 | SAVICKI ET AL. | • | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Truc T. T. Nguyen | 2833 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply | on appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE | FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition. Is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE | eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n <u>02 December 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) | ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,8-27 and 29</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) 3-7, 28 is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)[] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | • | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Ex | caminer. | | | | | |
| 10) | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | • | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. Note the attached | d Office Action or form P1O-152. | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | - | | | | | |
| • — | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc | | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority doc | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International | | received in this National Stage | | | | |
| * (| See the attached detailed Office action fo | r a list of the certified copies not | received. | | | | |
| | · | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | , , | 4) Interview (| Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S | Paper No(| s)/Mail Date | • | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date | /SB/08) 5) Notice of I 6) Other: | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/726,137

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

"a remote use indictor" should be "a remote use indicator"

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 8, 10-12, 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Osika (US 4,667,073).

Osika discloses an electrical device comprising:

a housing (21);

a wiring device with a switch (20), a terminal (22, 23, 24, 25);

a remote indicator module (A, B, and circuit shown in Figures 6-8), light emitting diode (A, B);

A planar indicia holder (83) to display a removable placard is an image bearing media (84) having readable symbol/alpha-numeric character/text indicia (ON/OFF) disposed thereon; a color code lenses (72, 74).

Art Unit: 2833

Osika substantially disclosed the claimed invention but silently about the remote use indicator circuit. This feature is seen to be an inherent teaching of that device since a means for providing a voltage is disclosed and it is apparent that some type of a circuit must be present for the device to function as intended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9, 13, 18-27, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osika (US 4,667,073).

Regarding claims 9, 13, and 26, Osika substantially disclosed the claimed invention except for the indicator is a neon lamp, the indicia is an image or a photograph.

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitation. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Regarding claims 18-25, 27, and 29 are rejected for the same reason as applied in claims 1-2, 8, 10-12, 14-17.

Osika does not disclose the planar surface is of at least 0.8 square inches.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to change the size of the planar surface to a specific area, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the

Art Unit: 2833

size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPO 237 (CCPA 1955)

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3-7 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 3-7, the prior art of record fails to teach the remote use indicator is disposed on a circuit board.

Regarding claim 28, the prior art of record fails to teach the electrical device having a timer.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T. T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2011. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,137 Page 5

Art Unit: 2833

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800 extension 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Truc T. T. Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2833